



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/509,745

09/30/2004

Yuko Kawaguchi

2004_1492A

4363

513

7590

01/28/2009

WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.

2033 K STREET N. W.

SUITE 800

WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021

EXAMINER

HEYI, HENOK G

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2627

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/28/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/509,745 | Applicant(s) KAWAGUCHI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner HENOK G. HEYI | Art Unit 2627 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HENOK G. HEYI. (3) ____.

(2) Andrew L. Dunlap. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 21 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hiroaki et al. JP 2001-229542 and Miyagawa et al. US 7,142,496 B2.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's proposed amendment to claim 21 was discussed and examiner indicated to applicant that the proposed amendment to the claim doesn't seem to overcome the previous rejection. Examiner suggested some claim language corrections and also advised applicant to send their amendment for further examining.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| /Thang V. Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627 | /Henok G Heyi/ Examiner, Art Unit 2627 |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|